OPEN ACCESS



International Journal of Chronic Diseases & Therapy (IJCDT) ISSN: 2572-7613

Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C: An Experience Report from a Referral Center in Northeastern Brazil

Research Article

Auzelivia Pastora Rego Medeiros Falcão¹, Priscilla Megumi Haibara², Fernando Henrique Destafani deSouza², Géssika Lanzillo de Almeida Nunes², Joyce Almeida Sá de Moraes², AmáliaCinhtiaMeneses Rêgo³, Irami Araújo-Filho^{4*}

¹Physician, Gastroenterologist and Hepatologist - Nucleus for Liver Studies at Hospital Universitário Onofre Lopes/Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte/UFRN, Brazil.

² Undergraduate Student of Medicine at UFRN - Nucleus for Liver Studies at Hospital Universitário Onofre Lopes/Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte/UFRN, Brazil.

³Postgraduate Program in Biotechnology at Potiguar University/UnP - Laureate International Universities. Teaching and Research Manager - School of Health - League Against Cancer Natal - RN/Brazil. Ph.D. in Health Science.

⁴ Full Professor Department of Surgery, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte. Full Professor, Department of Surgery, Potiguar University. Ph.D in Health Science/Natal-RN, Brazil;Postgraduate Program in Biotechnology at Potiguar University/ UnP - Laureate International Universities.

Abstract

Background: Therapy for the hepatitis C virus (HCV) has undergone a revolution with the introduction of direct-acting antivirals (DAA). DAAs achieve sustained virological response (SVR) in 90-95% of treated patients, compared to 50-70% of those receiving dual pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy. Although they are already available, there are few studies on DAAs efficacy in the Brazilian population.

Objective: To evaluate the efficacy of DAAs in individuals with hepatitis C at the Liver Study Center (LSC) in Hospital Universitário Onofre Lopes (HUOL).

Methods: Medical records of chronic HCV patients treated with DAAs from LSC were analyzed. Only those patients with a follow-up of at least 12 weeks after the end of treatment were included.

Results: A total of 50 patients underwent treatment with DAAs at LSC. Of these, genotype 1 was present in 39 patients (81.2%, 1a8.3%, 1b68.7%), genotype 2, in 2 patients (4.2%) and genotype 3, in 6 patients (14.5%, 3a 2%). Thirty-two were cirrhotic (64%), and 20 were treatment-experienced (40%). The therapeutic regimens used were mainly sofosbuvir (SOF) + simeprevir (SMV) in 23 patients (46%) and SOF + daclatasvir (DCV), in 22 (44%). SVR-12 was achieved in 92% of patients. Four patients had virological failure: three of them were cirrhotic and treatment experienced. The other one had advanced liver fibrosis (F3) with no previous treatment for HCV infection. No adverse events were reported during DAA treatment. **Conclusion:** The experience of the LSC with DAAs showed a high rate of SVR and excellent tolerability.

Keywords: Hepatitis C; Hepatic Cirrhosis; Liver Fibrosis; Liver Disease; Sustained Virologic Response; Sustained Viral Suppression; Antiviral Drugs.

Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is one of the leading causes of chronic liver disease worldwide [1]. Hepatocyte lesion induced by HCV may lead to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [2]. Due to the silent progression of the disease and complications that require specialized care, hepatitis C has a significant impact on public health [3]. In the absence of treatment, there is chronification in 60%-85% of the cases and, on average, 20% progress to cirrhosis over time [4]. It is estimated that 170 million people

*Corresponding Author: Irami Araújo-Filho - MD - Ph.D, Postgraduate Program in Biotechnology at Potiguar University/ UnP - Laureate International Universities. Full Professor Department of Surgery, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte. Full Professor, Department of Surgery, Potiguar University. Ph.D in Health Science/Natal-RN, Brazil. Tel: +55 84 98876-0206 Fax: + 55 84 3342-5079 E-mail: irami.filho@uol.com.br Received: March 10, 2020 Accepted: July 06, 2020 Published: July 15, 2020 Citation: Auzelivia Pastora Rego Medeiros Falcão, Priscilla Megumi Haibara, Fernando Henrique Destafani deSouza, Géssika Lanzillo de Almeida Nunes, Joyce Almeida Sá de Moraes, Amália Cinhtia Meneses Rêgo, Irami Araújo-Filho. Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C: An Experience Report from a Referral Center in Northeastern Brazil. Int J Chronic Dis Ther: 2020;6(2):102-106. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.19070/2572-7613-2000021 Copyright: Irami Araújo-Filho[©]2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Auzelivia Pastora Rego Medeiros Falcão, Priscilla Megumi Haibara, Fernando Henrique Destafani deSouza, Géssika Lanzillo de Almeida Nunes, Joyce Almeida Sá de Moraes, Amália Cinhtia Meneses Rêgo, Irami Araújo-Filho. Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C: An Experience Report from a Referral Center in Northeastern Brazil. Int J Chronic Dis Ther. 2020;6(2):102-106.

are infected, which is equivalent to 2.8% of the world population [5-7]. In Brazil, 1.6 million people are carriers of the virus, and a higher frequency of genotypes 1 and 3 is present, with small variations in the prevalence ratio of these genotypes. Hepatitis C virus exhibits high genetic diversity, characterized by regional changes in genotype prevalence and is responsible for most deaths from viral hepatitis in our country, representing the third leading cause of liver transplants [8-10]. Until 2015, the treatment regimen for HCV in Brazil was based on the combination of interferon or interferon-pegylated with ribavirin for 48 to 72 weeks. Unfortunately, the cure rate was only 40%-80%, and there were many adverse effects associated [11, 12]. These unsatisfactory outcomes led to the development of new drugs for the treatment of hepatitis C, the so-called direct-action antivirals, representing a new era in the history of this disease [13-15]. DAAs have brought encouraging expectations about the potential of the cure for Hepatitis C. Unlike the interferon regimens, this new treatment has shown cure rates above 95% with few adverse effects, shorter duration of therapy, and more straightforward dosing [16-18]. Monitoring for side effects is also of little to no practical use as new DAA regimens are generally well tolerated, with less than 1% of patients discontinuing treatment for side effects or reporting severe adverse events. DAAs have been initially sold at a very high price, limiting access. Opportunities to access low-price generic medicines are increasing [19, 20]. Since 2018, the Unified Health System (UHS/SUS-Brazil) made available DAAs treatment for all people with HCV infection in Brazil. Currently, the DAAs that are part of the therapeutic arsenal offered by the SUS are sofosbuvir, simeprevir, and daclastavir. The goal of chronic hepatitis C treatment is to achieve the status of sustained virological response (SVR) in post-therapy follow-up [21]. SVR is determined to be undetectable HCV-RNA within 12 or 24 weeks after completion of treatment. SVR is a marker of virological and clinical cure [19]. Many factors may complicate HCV medical treatment, including the genotype of the virus, co-infections with other viruses, and the stage of liver disease [21, 22]. Since Brazil has a considerable extension, geographical differences in the populations studied can also affect treatment response due to varied viral characteristics of patients. At present, only two studies are evaluating DAAs efficacy in Brazil, and they are restricted to the south region of the country [23, 24]. Based on the presented scenario, this paper aims to evaluate the efficacy of DAAs in individuals with hepatitis C in Northeast Brazil, at the Liver Study Center (LSC) of Hospital UniversitárioOnofre Lopes (HUOL/UFRN).

Methods

This research is a single-center retrospective observational study using records of patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C who were treated with direct-acting antiviral agents between December 2015 and February 2019. The study was carried out following the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The Research Ethics Committee approved it of the Federal do Rio Grande do Norte University (UFRN), under registration number 78858417.4.0000.5292.As a primary endpoint for our analysis, we defined the achievement of a sustained virological response as 12 weeks after the end of antiviral treatment (SVR12). Patients that were lost to follow up or had treatment prematurely interrupted for any reason were excluded from this analysis. The unspecified therapeutic regimen was also considered an exclusion criterion. All other patients were included regardless of genotype, prior treatment, or liver fibrosis stage. Our patients were from the Liver Study Center (LSC), the liver patient unit from Hospital Onofre Lopes, in Natal, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil. Data were collected from patient's records included demographic information (age, gender) and clinical characteristics (disease stage, HCV genotype, coinfection with HBV or HIV, prior transplantation, previous therapy, side effects to DAA, and sustained virologic response). The following DAA regimens were used for 12-24 weeks: sofosbuvir (SOF) + simeprevir (SMV) +/- ribavirin (RBV); SOF + daclastavir (DCV) +/- RBV. A minority of patients were treated with alternative regimens: two with SOF + RBV, one with telaprevir + RBV + pegylated (PEG) interferon, and one with boceprevir + RBV + PEG interferon. Treatment decision was based on the Clinical protocol and therapeutic guidelines for hepatitis C and coinfections (PCDT), considering the availability of the drugs in Brazil provided by the Unified Health System (UHS/ SUS-BRAZIL), the HCV virus genotype and subtype, the presence of cirrhosis and other comorbidities and previous therapy for HCV.

Diagnosis of liver cirrhosis

The stage of liver fibrosis was determined mainly through elastography. Liver biopsy, APRI, and FIB4 scores were used in some cases. Patients with clinical signs and/or echographic findings of liver cirrhosis were considered eligible for treatment without the need for another staging test for liver fibrosis [25].

Statistical analysis

Results were processed using standard statistical analysis. Proportions were used for descriptive statistics. Data of 2 groups of patients were compared using Fisher's two-tailed test. The p-value<0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistics version 24 (IBM® SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

A total of 52 patients were treated for HCV infection in the LSC between December 2015 and February 2019. However, two patients were excluded due to the absence of therapeutic regimen information. There were two patients whose HCV genotype information was not present at the database.

Epidemiological characteristics

The clinical and demographic data of our patients are depicted in Table 1. At baseline, there was a predominance of males (58%) over females (42%), and the mean age was 62.5 years. Twenty (40%) patients had already been treated with interferon-based therapy in the past, and 32 (64%) were diagnosed with liver cirrhosis before starting treatment with DAAs. One patient presented with hepatitis B virus coinfection and diagnosis of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma.

Virological characteristics

In this analysis, genotype 1 was predominant (81.2%, 1a 8.3%, 1b 68.7%). Genotypes 2 and 3 represented the remaining 18.7% of the cases. We identified that more than half of our patients had a

viral load above 0,8 Mio. IU/mL.

Degree of liver damage

In this retrospective study, 32 (64%) individuals had cirrhosis. Of these, 18 (56.2%) had an F4 degree of fibrosis, and 14 (43.7%) had clinical signs or echographic findings of cirrhosis and not submitted for quantification of liver fibrosis. Eight patients had advanced fibrosis (F3). Data evaluating the Child-Pugh score was absent in most of our patients.

Efficacy of antiviral therapy

Almost all of our patients (96%) were treated with an interferon-free regimen. The therapeutic regimens used were: sofosbuvir (SOF) + simeprevir (SMV) +/- ribavirin (RBV) in 24 (48%) patients and SOF + daclastavir (DCV) in 22 (44%) for 12 to 24 weeks. One patient was treated with boceprevir + pegylated interferon (IFN) + RBV while the other patient was treated with telaprevir + pegylated IFN + RBV. RVS-12 was achieved in 92% of the subjects. Genotype 1 achieved SVR in 92,3% of the cases (1b 90.9%, 1a 100%). Genotype 2 and genotype 3 SVR was 100% and 85.7%, respectively. Treatment naïve showed SVR is 96.6%, while treatment-experienced individuals presented with 85% SVR. We analyzed the efficacy of DAAs in this population and compared it to the patients without cirrhosis. As stated above, 32 (64%) of our patients were cirrhotic before starting a treatment regimen with DAAs. The overall SVR in cirrhotics was 90.9%, whereas in noncirrhotics SVR-12 was 94.4%. Table 2 summarizes the efficacy of DAA therapy according to genotype, disease stage, and treatment experience. Genotype 1 patients treated with SOF + SMP +/- RBV achieved SVR in 91,7% of the cases, while those who were treated with SOF + DCV +/- RBV attained SVR is 90.9%. SVR, according to genotype and treatment regimen, is registered in Table 3. Fisher's two-tailed test comparing SOF + DCV +-RBV and SOF + SMP +- RBV regimens in all groups of patients (cirrhotic, treatment naïve, treatment-experienced, coinfected and each genotype - 1a, 1b, 3a) showed p-value statistically non-significant. Four patients had virological failure: three of them were cirrhotic and treatment experienced. One of the cirrhotic patients had hepatitis B virus coinfection and unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. The other one had advanced liver fibrosis (F3) with no previous treatment of HCV infection. Table 4 shows the details of each of those patients. No adverse events were reported during treatment with DAA.

Table 1. Patients characteristics n = 50 (%).

Male	29 (58%)
Female	-42%
Age (years)	62.5 (40-80)
HCV genotype	
Genotype 1	2 (4.2%)
Genotype 1 a	4 (8.3%)
Genotype 1 b	33 (68.7%)
Genotype 2	2 (4.2%)
Genotype 3	6 (12.5%)
Genotype 3 a	1 (2%)
Coinfection	
HBV	1 (2%)
HIV	0
Liver cirrhosis	32 (64%)
Treatment naïve	30 (60%)
Treatment experienced	20 (40%)
Treatment regimen	
Sofosbuvir + simeprevir	23 (46%)
Sofosbuvir + daclastavir	22 (44%)
Sofosbuvir + RBV	2 (4%)
Sofosbuvir + simeprevir + RBV	1 (2%)
PEG IFN + RBV + telaprevir	1 (2%)
Boceprevir + PEG IFN + RBV	1 (2%)
Treatment duration	
12 weeks	38 (76%)
24 weeks	10 (20%)
24 weeks incomplete	1 (2%)
1 year	1 (2%)

HCV = Hepatitis C virus; HBV = hepatitis B virus; IFN = interferon; RBV = ribavirin, PEG = pegylated.

SVR 12 n (%)	Overall $n = 50$	G1	G2
Overall	46 (92%)	36 (92.3%)	6 (85.7%)
Patients with cirrhosis	29 (90.6%)	24 (92.3%)	3 (75%)
Patients without cirrhosis	17 (94.4%)	14 (93.3%)	3 (100%)
Patients treatment experienced	17 (85%)	16 (88.9%)	1 (50%)
Patients treatment näive	29 (96.6%)	20 (95.2%)	5 (100%)

Lable 2. SVR-12 of DAA according to HUV	genotype, disease stage and treatment experience.
	Senotype, albende stage and heatherin enperior

G1 = Genotype 1; G2 = Genotype 3; SVR-12 = Sustained virologic response after 12 weeks; DAA = Direct acting antivirals; HCV = hepatitis C virus.

Table 3.	SVR-12 of	DAA acco	ording to H	CV genotype	and treatment	regimen.
						- -

HCV genotype	enotype DAA regimen	
Genotype 1 (n = 39)	hotype 1 ($n = 39$) Sofosbuvir + simeprevir 12 weeks ($n = 24$)	
	Sofosbuvir + daclastavir 12 weeks ($n = 5$)	5 (100%)
	Sofosbuvir + daclastavir 24 weeks (n =10)	9 (90%)
Genotype 3 ($n = 7$)	Sofosbuvir + daclastavir 12 weeks ($n = 7$)	6 (85.7%)

SVR-12 = Sustained virologic response after 12 weeks, DAA = Direct acting antivirals, HCV= Hepatitis C virus.

Table 4. Detailed characteristics of the patients who did not achieve SVR.

	Gender	Age	Genotype	Liver cirrhosis	Coinfection	Näive	Treatment regimen
1	Male	73	1b	Yes	No	No	SOF + SMV
2	Female	66	3a	Yes	No	No	SOF + DCV
3	Male	70	1b	Yes	No	No	SOF + SMV
4	Male	55	1b	No	No	Yes	SOF + SMV

SVR = Sustained virologicresponse; SOF = Sofosbuvir; SMV = Simeprevir; DCV = daclastavir.

Discussion

In this retrospective study, we evaluated the efficacy and safety of DAA therapy in patients with HCV from an academic center in Northeast Brazil. Similarly, to data reported in other series, there was a predominance of males over females. Epidemiological studies show that genotype 1 is the most common presentation of VHC, being responsible for over half of the cases [6]. Although genotype distribution varies depending on the region, the majority of our patients (81.2%) belonged to genotype 1. The remaining ones were genotype 2 and 3. There were also patients with genotype 4 who were not included in this analysis due to a lack of SVR-12 results. Therapeutics with SOF + DCV or SOF + SMV for either 12 or 24 weeks demonstrated to be highly effective and safe in patients' treatment naïve and experienced, with excellent tolerability and no serious adverse effects reported. Overall, SVR-12 was achieved in 92% of our patients. SVR-12 was higher in patients without cirrhosis (94.4%), genotype 1 (92.3%) and 2 (100%) and treatment naïve (96.6%). The results of our "reallife experience" were similar to other studies evaluating DAAs efficacy, including those made in the southern portion of Brazil [23, 24, 26]. Although treatment with SOF + SMV +/- RBV showed better response over SOF + DCV +/- RBV, there was no statistically significant advantage of one regimen over the other to all groups of patients specified at Table 3. Both schemes had

similar results. One randomized clinical trial also showed no statistical significance between SOF + SMV and SOF + DCV [27]. The groups of patients' treatment-experienced and genotype 3 with cirrhosis obtained response below 90% SVR-12. This observation is following other reports corroborating the fact that the population with cirrhosis or genotype 3 is the most difficult to treat with DAAs regimens currently available [26]. However, this data has to be interpreted with caution since the number of our sample was limited. The presence of advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis is known factors that affect the choice of therapy regimen and worsens post-treatment prognosis, as well as post-treatment, follow up the schedule [25-27]. The limitation of real-life studies resides in the fact that it is non-randomized, allowing for selection bias. The population in this study consisted mostly of patients who received free therapy from Unified Health System (UHS/ SUS-Brazil).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the treatment of HCV in Northeast Brazil confirmed DAAs high SVR rate and safety. The era of DAAs has revolutionized HCV therapy, with the vast majority of patients having access to treatment expected to be cured of HCV infection. Recently approved DAA combinations herald a new paradigm of shortened duration pan-genotypic regimens. Several fac-

Auzelivia Pastora Rego Medeiros Falcão, Priscilla Megumi Haibara, Fernando Henrique Destafani deSouza, Géssika Lanzillo de Almeida Nunes, Joyce Almeida Sá de Moraes, Amália Cinhtia Meneses Rêgo, Irami Araújo-Filho. Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C: An Experience Report from a Referral Center in Northeastern Brazil. Int J Chronic Dis Ther. 2020;6(2):102-106.

tors pre-therapy still determine optimal regimens, but this may not be required in the future as we move towards pan-genotypic regimens. As treatments get more manageable in terms of adverse effects, and shorter, on treatment monitoring will also diminish for the vast majority of patients. Therefore, the introduction of DAAs for the treatment of hepatitis C can radically change the epidemiological picture of this disease worldwide. From the use of these new classes of medicines, it is possible to eliminate the infection in countries that are dedicated to responsible action to control the epidemic, guaranteeing better results in public health and sustainability of universal access to treatment.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Ph.D. in Health Sciences and Teaching and Research Manager at League Against Cancer, Profa. Dra. AmáliaRêgo, for her contribution and relevance to the scientific discussion and supervision of this research, acting as an expert consultant on the bibliographic survey, analysis, and scientific advice. We also thank all the study components for their dedication and effort to build a scientifically validated quality study.

References

- Lavanchy D. Evolving epidemiology of hepatitis C virus. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2011;17(2):107-15. PMID: 21091831.
- [2]. Lavanchy D. Chronic viral hepatitis as a public health issue in the world. Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology. 2008;22(6):991-1008. PMID: 19187863.
- [3]. Westbrook RH, Dusheiko G. Natural history of hepatitis C. Journal of Hepatology. 2014;61(1):S58-S68.
- [4]. Petruzziello A, Marigliano S, Loquercio G, Cozzolino A, Cacciapuoti C. Global epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection: An up-date of the distribution and circulation of hepatitis C virus genotypes. World journal of gastroenterology. 2016;22(34):7824-40. PMID: 27678366.
- [5]. Lavanchy D. The global burden of hepatitis C. Liver International. 2009;29(s1):74-81. PMID: 19207969.
- [6]. Messina JP, Humphreys I, Flaxman A, Brown A, Cooke GS, Pybus OG, et al. Global distribution and prevalence of hepatitis C virus genotypes. Hepatology. 2015;61(1):77-87. PMID: 25069599.
- [7]. Amaku M, Burattini MN, Coutinho FAB, Lopez LF, Mesquita F, Naveira MCM, et al. Estimating the Size of the HCV Infection Prevalence: A Modeling Approach Using the Incidence of Cases Reported to an Official Notification System. Bulletin of Mathematical Biology. 2016;78(5):970-90. PMID: 27160282.
- [8]. Campiotto S, Pinho JRR, Carrilho FJ, Da Silva LC, Souto FJD, Spinelli V, et al. Geographic distribution of hepatitis C virus genotypes in Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 2005;38:41-9. PMID: 15665987.
- [9]. Khokhar OS, Lewis JH. Reasons why patients infected with chronic hepatitis C virus choose to defer treatment: do they alter their decision with time?. Dig Dis Sci. 2007 May;52(5):1168-76. PMID: 17357838.
- [10]. Kardashian AA, Pockros PJ. Novel emerging treatments for hepatitis C infection: a fast-moving pipeline. Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology. 2017;10(2):277-82. PMID: 28203284.

- [11]. Butt AA, Yan P, Shaikh OS, Chung RT, Sherman KE, study tE. Sofosbuvirbased regimens in clinical practice achieve SVR rates closer to clinical trials: results from ERCHIVES. Liver International. 2016;36(5):651-8.
- [12]. Preda CM, Popescu CP, Baicus C, Voiosu TA, Manuc M, Pop CS, et al. Realworld efficacy and safety of ombitasvir, paritaprevir/r+dasabuvir+ribavirin in genotype 1b patients with hepatitis C virus cirrhosis. Liver International. 2018;38(4):602-10. PMID: 28816020.
- [13]. Aghemo A, De Francesco R. New horizons in hepatitis C antiviral therapy with direct-acting antivirals. Hepatology. 2013;58(1):428-38. PMID: 23467911.
- [14]. Pawlotsky JM. New Hepatitis C Therapies: The Toolbox, Strategies, and Challenges. Gastroenterology. 2014;146(5):1176-92. PMID: 24631495.
- [15]. Saleem A, Akhtar MF, Mushtaq MF, Saleem M, Muhammad ST, Akhtar B, et al. Current trends in the treatment of hepatitis C: interventions to avoid adverse effects and increase effectiveness of anti-HCV drugs. EXCLI journal. 2016;15:578-88. PMID: 28096788.
- [16]. Pawlotsky J-M, Negro F, Aghemo A, Berenguer M, Dalgard O, Dusheiko G, et al. EASL Recommendations on Treatment of Hepatitis C 2018. Journal of Hepatology. 2018;69(2):461-511. PMID: 29650333.
- [17]. Khokhar OŠ, Lewis JH. Reasons why patients infected with chronic hepatitis Cvirus choose to defer treatment: do they alter their decision with time?. Dig Dis Sci. 2007 May;52(5):1168-76. PMID: 17357838.
- [18]. Panel AIHG. Hepatitis C guidance: AASLD-IDSA recommendations for testing, managing, and treating adults infected with hepatitis C virus. Hepatology. 2015;62(3):932-54. PMID: 26111063.
- [19]. Aghemo A, Piroth L, Bhagani S. What do clinicians need to watch for with direct-acting antiviral therapy?. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2018;21(S2):e25076. PMID: 29633552.
- [20]. Sette-Jr H, Cheinquer H, Wolff FH, de Araujo A, Coelho-Borges S, Soares SRP, et al. Treatment of Chronic HCV Infection with the New Direct Acting Antivirals (DAA): First Report of a RealWorld Experience in Southern Brazil. Annals of Hepatology. 2017;16(5):727-33. PMID: 28809742.
- [21]. Ferreira VL, Borba HHL, Wiens A, Pedroso MLA, RadunzVFdC, Ivantes CAP, et al. Effectiveness and tolerability of direct-acting antivirals for chronic hepatitis C patients in a Southern state of Brazil. The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2018;22(3):186-92. PMID: 29752891.
- [22]. European Association for the Study of the Liver.EASL-ALEH Clinical Practice Guidelines: Non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease severity and prognosis. Journal of Hepatology. 2015;63(1):237-64. PMID: 25911335.
- [23]. Ioannou GN, Beste LA, Chang MF, Green PK, Lowy E, Tsui JI, et al. Effectiveness of Sofosbuvir, Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir, or Paritaprevir/Ritonavir/ Ombitasvir and Dasabuvir Regimens for Treatment of Patients With Hepatitis C in the Veterans Affairs National Health Care System. Gastroenterology. 2016;151(3):457-71.e5. PMID: 27267053.
- [24]. Pott H, Bricks G, Grandi G, Senise JF, Castelo A. Sofosbuvir in combination with daclatasvir or simeprevir for 12 weeks in non-cirrhotic individuals chronically infected with hepatitis virus genotype 1: a randomized clinical trial. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2019; 25(3): 365-371. PMID: 29906601.
- [25]. Nelson DR, Cooper JN, Lalezari JP, Lawitz E, Pockros PJ, Gitlin N, et al. All-oral 12-week treatment with daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir in patients with hepatitis C virus genotype 3 infection: ALLY-3 phase III study. Hepatology. 2015;61(4):1127-35. PMID: 25614962.
- [26]. Leroy V, Angus P, Bronowicki J-P, Dore GJ, Hezode C, Pianko S, et al. Daclatasvir, sofosbuvir, and ribavirin for hepatitis C virus genotype 3 and advanced liver disease: A randomized phase III study (ALLY-3+). Hepatology. 2016;63(5):1430-41. PMID: 26822022.
- [27]. Welzel TM, Petersen J, Herzer K, Ferenci P, Gschwantler M, Wedemeyer H, et al. Daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir, with or without ribavirin, achieved high sustained virological response rates in patients with HCV infection and advanced liver disease in a real-world cohort. Gut. 2016;65(11):1861-1870. PMID: 27605539.

106