Introduction

The roots of Oral and maxillofacial Surgery (OMFS) is a very deep one dating back to the genesis of medicine and surgery itself, with the literary works of Hippocrates, Aristotle and Sushruta describing dental extractions and wiring techniques for maxillofacial fracture management [1, 2]. Thoma in his 1957 paper titled “History of Oral Surgery; the Oldest Specialty of Dentistry” nonchalantly mentions the disinterest of many medical practitioners during the 19th century to treat diseases and conditions of the oral cavity due to their non-urgent or not-so-life-threatening nature and also, the possibility of a resultant facial deformity which would leave the operator open to criticism [3]. The fairly limited pursuers who dared to treat conditions of the mouth laid the foundations to the specialty which would later be named as Oral Surgery by James E. Garretson and subsequently to Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery in 1975 by the American Association [3, 4]. Yet, even with the contributions of many eminent surgeons in the field who have strived to provide a better understanding of the specialty, till today the specialty of OMFS remains a debatable one which is evident in the difference in its training pathway around the world [5]. Additionally, there is a grayness that exists among the general public and the health professionals in the understanding of the specialty with other specialties like Plastic Surgery and Ear Nose and Throat (ENT) Surgery [8]. Subashraj K et al in 2008 also mentioned a similar ignorance towards the specialty among the medical communities in comparison to the dental communities [9].

Though the scope of the specialty is vast, beginning humbly from
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dental extractions to more complex craniofacial and microvascular procedures, most of the OMF surgeons restrict their practice to minor oral surgeries due to the shortage in opportunity as the anatomy of interest is being overlapped with other medical specialties [10, 12]. This is also attributed to the relative recentness of the specialty compared to other surgical fields and also the traditional hesitance to correlate dentistry with surgery which exists among the general public and the medical practitioners [13]. Some countries have attempted to resolve this hesitation by pedestalling OMFS as a specialty which requires both medical and dental degrees but less successfully so, as the grayness among the general public and medical fraternity continues to exist. The problem is only further escalated in developing countries like India [5, 8-14].

Nonetheless, as partners in providing healthcare to the patients, it would only be fair for the medical and dental fraternities to function together and recognise the contribution of the other without prejudice [15].

In this study, we aim to assess the awareness of the specialty of OMFS among the medical fraternity in South India in an attempt to better understand the current scenario in South India.

Methodology

The proposed survey was conducted after receiving the approval from the University Institutional Review Board.

Study Design

To understand the awareness of the medical professionals about the specialty of oral and maxillofacial surgery with respect to knowledge, attitude and practice, an electronic survey was conducted using an online portal which was distributed in the form of a web link. Clicking on the link led users to an online structured questionnaire written in English consisting of 19 questions excluding the demographic data. For convenience sake, the questions were categorised into the following: (1) Demographic data (2) Knowledge about the specialty of oral and maxillofacial surgery (3) Attitude towards the specialty of oral and maxillofacial surgery (4) Practice ideologies of the medical professionals with respect to the specialty of oral and maxillofacial surgery. The questions were framed in multiple choice format and the respondents were asked to choose the most appropriate response. An individual could only submit the form once and their response was non-modifiable after submission. The participation was voluntary and anonymous.

Inclusion Criteria

Only medical practitioners and students pursuing medicine at the time of survey were included in the study

Exclusion Criteria

Medical students in their first or second year of study who were not sufficiently exposed to the clinical environment were excluded from the study in an attempt to avoid bias.

Data Collection and Statistical Analysis

The survey responses were tabulated in Excel Datasheet and was statistically analysed with SPSS Version 20 Software using descriptive statistics while the strength of association was measured using Pearson's Chi Square Test at Confidence Interval (CI) 95% where p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 207 responses were collected of which 5 responses were excluded, due to incompletion of the form. The remaining 202 responses were assembled and included in the study. Of the participants, 32.18% were undergraduate medical students, 28.22% were postgraduate medical students, 21.19% were general physicians and 18.32% were specialist practitioners (Fig 1). The non-demographic questionnaire responses were grouped, analysed and interpreted as follows:

Knowledge (Table 1)

These questions assessed the knowledge of the participants about the specialty of oral and maxillofacial surgery.

Among the participants, only 71.3% were aware of the different specialties in dentistry while 28.7% were ignorant of it. When asked if they were aware of a specialty called OMFS, only 70.3% of them responded positively while 29.7% of them gave a negative response. Of the participants, 55% of them professed to be aware of the different procedures done in OMFS while 45% of them were unaware. Moreover, only 34.7% of them were aware of the different training pathways in OMFS while 65.3% of them were

Figure 1. Pie chart showing the distribution of the participants with respect to their designation.

still ignorant of the same. Only 53% of the participants seemed to know that OMFS was not restricted to dentoalveolar surgeries while 47% had a misconception that OMFS only pertains to dentoalveolar surgeries. The association between the designation of the participants and their knowledge about the specialty of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery is illustrated in Table 1 where p< 0.05 at CI 95% is considered to be statistically significant.

Attitude (Table 2)

These questions assessed the attitude of the participants towards the specialty of oral and maxillofacial surgery.

Among the participants, 51% of them thought that OMF surgeons were qualified to perform procedures other than dentoalveolar surgeries while 49% of them thought otherwise. Expectably, 67.8% of the participants thought that all surgical branches including OMFS should be a specialty of medicine while 32.2% of them did not think so. The question “Which of the following do you think OMFS surgeons are qualified to manage/treat/perform?” had 9 sub-questions ranging from extraction of teeth to microvascular surgeries. 93.7% of the participants believed that OMF surgeons were qualified to perform extraction of teeth and third molar surgeries while interestingly 6.3% of them did not believe so. Pertaining to placement of dental implants, 90.1% of them believed an OMF surgeon was qualified to perform the procedure while 9.9% of them did not think so. The opinion of the participants was split with regard to cleft lip and palate surgeries, TMJ surgeries and cosmetic surgeries. That is, of all the participants only 46.5%, 53% and 41.6% of them believed that an OMF surgeon was qualified to perform the procedure while 53%, 47% and 58.4% of them respectively did not think so. With regard to surgeries to treat craniofacial anomalies, only 29.2% of the participants thought an OMF surgeon was qualified to perform the procedure while 70.8% did not think so. Furthermore, only 28.2% and 33.7% of the participants considered an OMF surgeon qualified to perform head and neck cancer and microvascular surgeries respectively. The association between the designation of the participants and their attitude towards the specialty of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery is illustrated in Table 2 where p< 0.05 at CI 95% is considered to be statistically significant.

Practice (Table 3)

These questions assessed the practice of the participants with respect to the specialty of oral and maxillofacial surgery.

Among the participants, only 59.4% of them have ever referred a patient to an OMF surgeon and only 43.6% had referred for reasons other than dentoalveolar surgeries. 61.4% of the participants said that they will refer patients to an OMF surgeon for reasons other than dentoalveolar surgeries in the future. The association between the designation of the participants and their attitude towards the specialty of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery is illustrated in Table 3 where p< 0.05 at CI 95% is considered to be statistically significant.

Discussion

A surgeon trained in OMFS is qualified not only to perform dentoalveolar surgeries but also to surgically manage trauma and pathologies pertaining to cranio-maxillofacial region, treat diseases of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) and perform esthetic procedures of the face inclusive of orthognathic and facial plastic surgeries. With advanced training they can treat developmental conditions of the cranio-maxillofacial region with cleft and craniofacial surgeries and perform surgical resection of head and neck tumours followed by reconstruction with either local or microvascular flaps. The training pathways of the specialty are varied in different parts of the world [11, 16].

Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery is the only specialty to be regarded as a bridge between medicine and dentistry. But uncannily, the specialty is placed in an obscure ground where the dental fraternity regards them as surgeons and the medical specialty as dentists [17]. In India, the specialty is a part of dentistry, hence a relative popularity of the specialty among the dentists when compared to

Table 1. Participants’ responses to the questions assessing their knowledge about the specialty of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery(* represents the association which are statistically significant with p<0.05 at CI 95%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Undergraduate Medical Student</th>
<th>Post Graduate Medical Student</th>
<th>General Physician</th>
<th>Specialist Practitioner</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Are you aware of the different specialties in Dentistry?</td>
<td>21.78%</td>
<td>10.40%</td>
<td>22.28%</td>
<td>5.94%</td>
<td>12.87%</td>
<td>8.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Are you aware of the specialty of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery?</td>
<td>19.80%</td>
<td>12.38%</td>
<td>23.27%</td>
<td>4.95%</td>
<td>12.38%</td>
<td>8.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Are you aware of the different procedures done in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery?</td>
<td>15.35%</td>
<td>16.83%</td>
<td>20.79%</td>
<td>7.43%</td>
<td>7.43%</td>
<td>13.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Are you aware of the different training pathways in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery?</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
<td>26.73%</td>
<td>18.32%</td>
<td>9.90%</td>
<td>4.46%</td>
<td>16.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Are you aware that Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery is not restricted to dentoalveolar surgeries?</td>
<td>12.87%</td>
<td>19.31%</td>
<td>20.79%</td>
<td>7.43%</td>
<td>10.40%</td>
<td>10.89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

their medical colleagues can be expected [9].

In our study, though many participants knew about the existence of the specialty, half of them were ignorant about the procedures performed and unaware that it was not restricted to dentoalveolar surgeries. While a majority of them believed that any surgical branch including Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery should be a specialty of medicine, half of them were ignorant about the procedures of the specialty, while more than half of the participants had at least once referred a patient to an OMF surgeon, less than half of them had referred for reasons other than dentoalveolar procedures and a majority of them professed to do so only in the future. Our attempt to identify any significant difference in the responses of participants belonging to different designations (viz. undergraduate students, post graduate students, general physicians, specialist practitioners) lead to an innovative finding. The postgraduate and undergraduate students were more aware of the specialty when compared to the general physicians and specialist practitioners; this could be due to the increasing popularity of multidisciplinary approach to patient treatment which is becoming a part of the current training pattern for the undergraduate and postgraduate students. This could positively influence the awareness and acceptance of the specialty of OMFS in the distant future.

Table 2. Participants' responses to questions assessing their attitude towards the specialty of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (*represents the associations which are statistically significant with p<0.05 at CI 95%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Undergraduate Medical Student</th>
<th>Post Graduate Medical Student</th>
<th>General Physician</th>
<th>Specialist Practitioner</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Do you think Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons are qualified to do procedures other than dentoalveolar surgeries?</td>
<td>13.37% 18.81%</td>
<td>21.29% 6.93%</td>
<td>8.42% 12.87%</td>
<td>7.92% 10.40%</td>
<td>51% 49%</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Do you think all surgical branches (including Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery) should be a specialty of medicine?</td>
<td>19.31% 12.87%</td>
<td>22.28% 9.4%</td>
<td>15.35% 5.94%</td>
<td>10.89% 7.43%</td>
<td>67.80% 32.20%</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Which of the following procedures do you think an OMF surgeon is qualified to perform?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Extraction of teeth and third molar surgery</td>
<td>29.70% 0%</td>
<td>28.22% 2.97%</td>
<td>20.30% 2.48%</td>
<td>15.35% 0.99%</td>
<td>93.60% 6.40%</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Dental implant placement</td>
<td>30.20% 1.98%</td>
<td>26.73% 1.49%</td>
<td>17.33% 3.96%</td>
<td>15.84% 2.48%</td>
<td>90.10% 9.90%</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Management of fracture in maxillofacial region</td>
<td>24.26% 7.92%</td>
<td>22.77% 5.45%</td>
<td>13.37% 7.92%</td>
<td>12.36% 5.84%</td>
<td>53% 47%</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Cleft lip and palate surgeries</td>
<td>13.37% 18.81%</td>
<td>18.32% 9.90%</td>
<td>7.43% 13.86%</td>
<td>7.43% 10.89%</td>
<td>49.50% 53.50%</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Management of TMJ disorders</td>
<td>17.33% 14.85%</td>
<td>17.82% 10.40%</td>
<td>8.91% 12.38%</td>
<td>8.91% 9.41%</td>
<td>53% 47%</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>Cosmetic surgeries of the face</td>
<td>15.35% 16.83%</td>
<td>14.36% 13.86%</td>
<td>5.94% 15.35%</td>
<td>5.94% 12.38%</td>
<td>41.60% 58.40%</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g)</td>
<td>Craniofacial anomalies</td>
<td>8.42% 23.76%</td>
<td>12.87% 15.35%</td>
<td>2.97% 18.32%</td>
<td>4.95% 13.37%</td>
<td>20.20% 70.80%</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h)</td>
<td>Head and neck cancer surgery</td>
<td>8.42% 23.76%</td>
<td>13.37% 14.85%</td>
<td>1.98% 19.31%</td>
<td>4.46% 13.86%</td>
<td>28.20% 71.80%</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i)</td>
<td>Microvascular reconstruction of head and neck</td>
<td>9.90% 22.28%</td>
<td>13.86% 14.36%</td>
<td>5.45% 15.84%</td>
<td>4.46% 13.86%</td>
<td>33.70% 66.30%</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Participants' responses to the questions assessing their practice with respect to the specialty of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (*represents the associations which are statistically significant with p<0.05 at CI 95%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Undergraduate Medical Student</th>
<th>Post Graduate Medical Student</th>
<th>General Physician</th>
<th>Specialist Practitioner</th>
<th>Total Responses</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Have you ever referred patients to an Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon?</td>
<td>15.35% 16.83%</td>
<td>21.78% 6.44%</td>
<td>11.88% 9.41%</td>
<td>10.40% 7.92%</td>
<td>59.40% 40.60%</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Have you ever referred patients to an Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon for reasons other than dentoalveolar surgeries?</td>
<td>10.89% 21.29%</td>
<td>18.32% 9.90%</td>
<td>5.94% 15.35%</td>
<td>8.42% 9.90%</td>
<td>43.60% 56.40%</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Will you refer patients to an Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon for reasons other than dentoalveolar surgeries in the future?</td>
<td>18.32% 13.86%</td>
<td>21.29% 6.93%</td>
<td>9.90% 6.93%</td>
<td>11.88% 6.44%</td>
<td>61.40% 38.60%</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Apart from the associative finding, the results of our survey are not as surprising, with similar surveys conducted over time proving the relative unpopularity of the specialty in the medical fraternity. This simply shows the unchanging nature of the knowledge, attitude and practice among the medical professionals. As OMF surgeons, we must work towards familiarising the scope of our specialty among the general public as well as among other healthcare professionals. Reddy et al in 2011, discussed the importance of a less complicated and a precisely descriptive name change for the specialty which will easily reach out to the general public. Also, they reiterated the necessity to upgrade the postgraduate training process which will make the young and budding surgeons better equipped and confident to perform non-dentoalveolar procedures [17]. We insist on the same, and in addition, we believe a camaraderie between the medical professionals and OMF surgeons would indefinitely bridge the gap between medicine and dentistry.

Conclusion

Our study recapitulates the lack of awareness of the specialty of OMFS in the medical fraternity. In a developing country such as India where the awareness and importance given to oral health is yet to improve, it is unrealistic to expect awareness of the specialty among the general public when that of our medical fraternity itself is low. Hence, educating medical students and practitioners about the importance of oral health and more notably the recognition of an OMF surgeon's ability in treating dental and non-dental diseases of the cranio-maxillofacial region and unprejudiced referral when needed are crucial and would help to bridge the gap between the medical and dental fraternities.
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